



I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as First Class Mail, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dated: February 12, 2007

Signature: 

(Arnold H. Krumholz)

Docket No.: HAMMON 3.3-002
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Wassermann et al.

Application No.: 09/936,721

Filed: December 19, 2001

For: ARTIFICIAL URINARY DIVERSION
SYSTEM

:
:
:
:
: Group Art Unit: 3738
:
: Examiner: C. D. Prone
:
:
:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

In response to the official action dated August 11, 2006, in which claims 29 and 67-106 were rejected, the following remarks are respectfully submitted.

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully submits that the sole substantive rejection in this case has been made in error, and is truly inappropriate. That being the case, it is thus also clear that the present claims are in condition for allowance, and such action is therefore respectfully solicited.

Turning to the primary rejection in this case, the claims have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. The Examiner specifically refers to the polynomial formula set forth in the claims as defining the shape of the presently claimed apparatus in a plane parallel to its longitudinal direction. It is the Examiner's